

Integers of prescribed arithmetic structure in residue classes

Igor Shparlinski

The University of New South Wales

Primes in Progressions: In the Dream Word

Let

$$\pi(x; q, a) = \#\{p \leq x : p \equiv a \pmod{q}\}$$

We believe that if $\gcd(a, q) = 1$ then

$$\pi(x; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \pi(x)$$

provided $q \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}$, and

$$\pi(x; q, a) > 0$$

provided $q \leq x(\log x)^{-1-\varepsilon}$:

the smallest prime $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$ is $O(q(\log q)^{1+\varepsilon})$

Primes in Progressions: Harsh Real Word

Let

$$\pi(x; q, a) = \#\{p \leq x : p \equiv a \pmod{q}\}$$

If $\gcd(a, q) = 1$ then

$$\pi(x; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \pi(x)$$

provided $q \leq x^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ under the GRH or provided $q \leq (\log x)^A$ with some fixed A , unconditionally, and

$$\pi(x; q, a) > 0$$

provided $q \leq x^{1/5.2}$ (perhaps $5.2 \rightarrow 5$ — PhD Thesis by Xylouris, never published):

the smallest prime $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$ is $O(q^{5.2})$

Special moduli

Highly composite moduli: $\max_{p|q} p = q^{o(1)}$

Chang (2014):

$$\pi(x; q, a) > 0$$

provided $q \leq x^{5/12-\varepsilon}$ ($5/12 = 0.4167$).

Prime power moduli: $q = p^\gamma$, for a fixed p .

Banks & Shparlinski (2015):

$$\pi(x; q, a) \gg \frac{x}{\varphi(q) \log x}$$

provided $q \leq x^{0.4736-\varepsilon}$.

Recall: $A \ll B \Leftrightarrow B \gg A \Leftrightarrow A = O(B) \Leftrightarrow |A| \leq cB$

The exponent 0.4736 comes from a result of *Harman (2008)* on *Carmichael numbers* combined with a new bound on the zero-free region.

This lower bound

$$\pi(x; p^\gamma, a) \gg \frac{x}{p^\gamma \log x}, \quad p^\gamma \leq x^{0.4736-\varepsilon},$$

implies that there are *many* primes ℓ such that $\ell - 1$ contains a very large power of a small prime. Such primes appear in some algorithmic applications and cryptographic construction

- fast primality proving for *many* primes: *Pintz, Steiger & Szemerédi* 1989, *Konyagin & Pomerance* (**1997**),
- factoring polynomials modulo such primes: *Żrątek* (**2010**),
- fast integer multiplication algorithms: *Harvey, van der Hoeven* (**2019**),
- quantum-resistant cryptosystems from supersingular elliptic curve isogenies, *De Feo, Jao and J. Plût* (**2014**).

Since studying one prime is **very hard** it is natural to consider some *relaxations*.

There are several possible ways to relax the original question

- Consider expressions with *more than one prime*.
- Consider denser and easier to handle sequences, e.g. *square-free* numbers or *almost-primes* P_k (products of *at most* k primes).
- Do both!

Sums of two primes in residue classes

$\equiv$ Goldbach numbers in residue classes

Let $G(q)$ be the smallest integer such that the sums $p_1 + p_2$, with primes $p_1, p_2 \leq G(q)$ fall in all non-zero residue classes modulo q .

Conditional result

Linnik (1952): $\text{GRH} \implies G(q) \ll q(\log q)^5$

... which is very close to $G(q) = q + 3$ which follows from the **Binary Goldbach Conjecture**

Unconditional results

Jutila (1968): For a prime p , $G(p) \ll p^{6/5+o(1)}$

Rakhmonov (1986): For any odd q , $G(q) \ll q^\alpha$ for any

$$\alpha > \frac{3}{32}(9 + \sqrt{17}) = 1.23029 \dots$$

Rakhmonov (1987): For prime p , there is $\approx$ a right number of sums $p_1 + p_2$ with $p_1, p_2 \leq p^\beta$ in each residue class modulo p for any $\beta > 3/2$.

Mikawa (2000): Proved $G(p) \ll p^{14/13+o(1)}$ for a prime p , and wrote:

We control the arising parameters so that all numerical calculations can be done by hand . . . So there would be some room for a further refinement, by pushing our method more.

nobody has ever revisited this.

The above results are based on:

bounds of character sums with shifted primes & *sieve methods*
— both have recently been advanced.

Products of two primes in residue classes: Erdős, Odlyzko & Sárközy Problem

Erdős, Odlyzko & Sárközy (**1987**):

Question: Do the products $p_1 p_2$, with primes $p_1, p_2 < p$ fall in all non-zero residue classes modulo a prime p ?

The *EOS*-question seems to be too hard even for the GRH ... so several *further relaxations* have been considered:

$$p_1 p_2 (p_3 + a), \quad p_1 (p_2 + p_3), \quad p_1 p_2 + p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_3$$

by *Friedlander, Kurlberg & Shparlinski* (**2008**), *Fouvry & Shparlinski* (**2010**), *Baker* (**2012**), *Garaev* (**2012**).

Products $p_1 \dots p_\nu$, p_i is prime or $p_i = 1$

Shparlinski (2013), via Kloosterman sums & sieve methods:

one can take $\nu = 18$ and both $P_{17} = p_1 \dots p_{17}$, $p_{18} \leq p^{0.977}$

(that is, we have a product of a small prime and a small almost prime)

Walker (2016) via methods of additive combinatorics:

one can take $\nu = 6$ and $p_i \leq p^{15/16+o(1)} = p^{0.9375+o(1)}$;

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, one can take large ν and $p_i \leq p^{3/4+\varepsilon}$.

Shparlinski (2018) via Kloosterman sums & sieve methods:

one can take $\nu = 5$ and $p_i \leq p^{0.905+o(1)}$;

one can take $\nu = 6$ and $p_i \leq p^{0.864+o(1)}$;

one can take $\nu = 7$ and $p_i \leq p^{0.760+o(1)}$;

one can take $\nu = 8$ and $p_i \leq p^{0.673+o(1)}$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, one can take large ν and $p_i \leq p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$.

Question: Can we do anything in the **Burgess range** $p_i \leq p^{1/(4e^{1/2})+\varepsilon}$?

The results are much harder if $p_i = 1$ is not allowed

Ramaré & Walker (2016) via additive combinatorics:

one can take $\nu = 3$ and $1 < p_i \ll p^{16/3}$

Ramaré & Srivastav (2018)

via character sums & sieve:

one can take $\nu = 3$ and $1 < p_i \ll p^3$

Balasubramanian, Ramaré & Srivastav (2022)

via additive combinatorics and sieve:

one can take $\nu = 3$ and $1 < p_i \ll p^{3/2}$

one can take $\nu = 4$ and $1 < p_i \ll p(\log p)^6$

Szabó (2022)

via character sums, sieve and additive combinatorics:

one can take $\nu = 3$ and $1 < p_i \ll p^{6/5}$

Approximation by $p_1 p_2$

Irving (2014) via bounds of sums of incomplete Kloosterman sums:

For any integers a and q with $\gcd(a, q) = 1$, here are p_1 and p_2 with $p_1 p_2 \leq q^{46/31+o(1)}$ and such that

$$ap_1 p_2 \equiv k \pmod{q}$$

for some k with $|k| \leq q^{15/31+o(1)}$.

Question: What are the gaps between $ap_1 p_2 \pmod{q}$?

Question: Can one get stronger results for almost all q (prime or composite)?

Square-free numbers in residue classes

Let

$$\sigma(x; q, a) = \#\{s \leq x : s \equiv a \pmod{q}, s \text{ square-free}\}$$

— and analogue of $\pi(x; q, a)$ for square-free integers — and

$$\sigma^*(x; q) = \#\{s \leq x : \gcd(s, q) = 1, s \text{ square-free}\}$$

Nunes (2016-2017)

$$\sigma(x; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sigma^*(x; q), \quad \text{for } q \leq x^{13/19-\varepsilon},$$

and

$$\sigma(x; q, a) > 0, \quad \text{for } q \leq x^{25/36-\varepsilon},$$

$25/26 > 13/19 > 2/3$

$2/3$ is a notoriously *difficult* threshold, which remains unbeaten for related problems, e.g. in the *Dirichlet divisor problem in progressions*.

Mangerel (2022):

If q is reasonably smooth and square-free then

$$\sigma(x; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sigma^*(x; q), \quad \text{for } q \leq x^{196/261-\varepsilon}$$

Note that

$$196/261 = 0.7509578 \dots$$

For smooth but not square-free q one can replace it with $3/4$.

Question: What can we say for prime powers $q = p^k$ with a fixed p ?

The other extreme: Smooth numbers in residue classes

As usual, we say that n is **y -smooth** if $p \mid n \implies p \leq y$ and define

$$\psi(x, y; q, a) = \#\{n \leq x ; n \text{ is } y\text{-smooth and } n \equiv a \pmod{q}\}$$

$$\psi_q(x, y) = \#\{n \leq x ; n \text{ is } y\text{-smooth and } \gcd(n, q) = 1\}.$$

Balog & Pomerance; Munsch, Shparlinski & Yau, Fouvry & Tenenbaum; Granville; Harper; Soundararajan; ...: various results in different ranges of (q, x, y) . All these results have a natural limitation if one looks at the range $q \leq y^A$

$$A \leq 4e^{1/2} \quad \text{— Burgess Barrier}$$

Conjecture (*Soundararajan (2007)*)

For any fixed $A > 1$, for $q \leq y^A$ uniformly over a with $\gcd(a, q) = 1$:

$$\psi(x, y; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \psi_q(x, y) \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rightarrow \infty$$

Let $P(q)$ denote the largest prime divisor of q .

Banks & Shparlinski (2021)

For every fixed value of $A > 0$, there is a number $Q_A > 0$, such that if

$$P(q)^{Q_A} < q \leq y^A \quad \text{and} \quad (a, q) = 1,$$

then we have asymptotic relation

$$\psi(x, y; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \psi_q(x, y) \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rightarrow \infty$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of natural numbers q with the property that

$$\log P(q) = o(\log q) \quad (q \rightarrow \infty, q \in \mathcal{Q}).$$

Then *Soundararajan's Conjecture* holds over $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Remark

The set $\mathcal{Q}$ is “almost” of positive density.

Small smooth numbers in residue classes

Harman (1999) via character sums & sieve

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ each non-zero residue class mod p contains a $p^{1/(4e^{1/2})+\varepsilon}$ -smooth $s \leq p^{9/4+\varepsilon}$

Remark

*Reducing $1/(4e^{1/2})$ for **any** size of s is impossible until the **Burgess (1957)** bound on the smallest quadratic non-residue is improved. However improving $9/4$ is not limited by anything.*

Short products of small integers in residue classes

Motivated by applications to *Fermat Quotients*

$$q_p(a) = \frac{a^{p-1} - 1}{p}$$

and an improvement of a result of *Chen & Winterhof* (2014):

Harman & Shparlinski (2014) via character sums & sieve

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ each non-zero residue class mod p can be represented as a product of 14 integers from $[1, p^{1/(4e^{1/2})+\varepsilon}]$.

Comment: The above result of *Harman* (1999) can be used directly but it gives 17 factors, making our application weaker.



Fermat Quotients:

$$q_p(a) = \frac{a^{p-1} - 1}{p}, \quad \gcd(a, p) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad q_p(kp) = 0,$$

are mysterious objects whose p -divisibility properties keep re-appearing in different areas:

- **Fermat Last Theorem** — *Wieferich* (**1909**) primes: $p \mid q_p(2)$:

$$1093, \quad 3511, \quad (??) > 10^{17};$$

- **Euler-Kronecker constants** of the unique cyclic extension of degree p over $\mathbb{Q}$, *Ihara* (**2006**);
- **Testing for squarefreeness**, *Lenstra* (**1979**).

Motivated by the above applications, various questions about $q_p(a) \bmod p$ have been studied:

- The smallest $a \geq 2$ with $q_p(a) \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$: *Lenstra; Granville; Ihara; Bourgain, Ford, Konyagin & Shparlinski; Shkredov (1979–2017)*
- The smallest $A \geq 2$ with $\{q_p(a) \bmod p : 1 \leq a \leq A\} = \mathbb{F}_p$: *Chen–Winterhof; Shparlinski (2012–2017)*
- The number of $a \in [1, A]$ with $q_p(a) \equiv 0 \bmod p$: *Granville; Shparlinski (1990–2012)*.
- The number of fixed points $a \in [1, A]$ with $q_p(a) \equiv a \bmod p$: *Ostafe & Shparlinski, Chen & Winterhof (2011–2014)*.
- Exponential and character sums: *Chang; Shparlinski (2011–2014)*.
- Additive properties mod p (à la Waring Problem): *Chen & Winterhof; Harman & Shparlinski (2014)*



Smooth square-free numbers in progressions

Recall that an integer n is called

- *y -smooth* if all prime divisors of n do not exceed y ;
- *square-free* if it is not divisible by a square of a prime.

Definition

$M(p)$ = the smallest integer M such that any residue class mod p contains a *p -smooth square-free* positive $s \leq M$ (set $M(p) = \infty$ if no such representative exists).

Booker & Pomerance (2017)

- *Theorem:* We have $M(p) < \infty$ for every $p \geq 11$ and $M(p) = p^{O(\log p)}$
- *Conjecture:* We have $M(p) = p^{O(1)}$

Motivation: Euclidean Prime Generator (~ 300 BC)

Definition (Classical Generator)

Set $p_1 = 2$ and then for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ define p_{k+1} as the smallest prime divisor of $n + 1$, where $n = p_1 \cdots p_k$.

Definition (Modified Generator of Crandall & Pomerance (2005))

Set $p_1 = 2$ and then for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ define

$$p_{k+1} = \min\{p : p \nmid n, p \mid (d + 1) \text{ for some } d \mid n\},$$

where $n = p_1 \cdots p_k$.

Booker & Pomerance (2017)

The above procedure produces 2, 3, 7, 5, and then **all** primes in order.

The **proof** is based on an existence result for *small smooth square-free* numbers in arithmetic progressions.

Booker & Pomerance (2017)

- *Theorem:* We have $M(p) < \infty$ for every $p \geq 11$ and $M(p) = p^{O(\log p)}$
- *Conjecture:* We have $M(p) = p^{O(1)}$

Munsch & Shparlinski (2017)

- *Theorem:* We have $M(p) \leq p^{3/2+o(1)}$.
- *Conjecture:* We have $M(p) = p^{1+o(1)}$.

Remark

- This improves a special case of *Balog and Pomerance (1992)* about the existence (without the additional condition of square-freeness) of a p -smooth integer $n \leq p^{7/4+\varepsilon}$ in arithmetic progressions modulo p .
- Our method can be used to obtain a more general improvement of the lower bound of *Balog and Pomerance (1992)* for the number y -smooth integer $n \leq x$ in arithmetic progressions modulo p (with or without the square-freeness condition).

Booker and Pomerance (**2017**) also introduced a generalisation of $M(p)$:

Definition

For $\alpha > 0$, $M_\alpha^(q)$ = the smallest integer M such that any reduced residue class modulo a positive integer q contains a q^α -smooth square-free positive $s \leq M$, and set, formally, $M_\alpha^*(q) = \infty$ if no such representative exists.*

So $M(q)$ is essentially $M_1^*(q)$ (if one forgets about non-reduced classes).

We have $M_{\alpha}^*(q) \leq q^{2+o(1)}$ for any fixed

$$\alpha > \begin{cases} 1/(4e^{1/2}), & \text{if } q \text{ is cube-free,} \\ 1/(3e^{1/2}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark

This improves a result of [Harman \(1999\)](#): for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large q , in all reduced residue classes mod q , there exists

- a $q^{1/(3e^{1/2})+\varepsilon}$ -smooth $s \leq q^{7/3+\varepsilon}$ for any q ;
- a $q^{1/(4e^{1/2})+\varepsilon}$ -smooth $s \leq q^{9/4+\varepsilon}$ for a cube-free q ;

(this is **without** the additional **square-freeness** condition). Note that $7/3 > 9/4 > 2$.

Remark

For $\alpha < 1/(4e^{1/2})$, proving that $M_{\alpha}^*(p) < \infty$ for large p is impossible until the [Burgess](#) bound on the smallest quadratic non-residue is improved.

For *almost all* primes p , we obtain stronger results:

Munsch & Shparlinski (2017)

As $Q \rightarrow \infty$, for any fixed $\alpha > 0$ for all but $Q^{o(1)}$ primes $p \in [Q, 2Q]$, we have

$$M_{\alpha}^{*}(p) \leq p^{2+o(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad M(p) \leq p^{4/3+o(1)}.$$

Ideas Behind our Bounds on $M_{\alpha}^*(q)$

We estimate $M_{\alpha}^*(q)$ by getting an asymptotic formula for the number of solutions $N_{a,q}^{\sharp}(L, h)$ to the congruence

$$\ell_1 \ell_2 u \equiv a \pmod{q},$$

- primes $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in [L/2, L]$
- square-free positive integer $u \leq h$

We need to maintain $h, L \leq q^{\alpha}$ to enforce smoothness and optimise $L^2 h$.

- We control the square-freeness of u via standard inclusion/exclusion.
- We then control the square-freeness of $\ell_1 \ell_2 u$ observing that if it is not square-free then it is divisible by a square of a large prime $\ell \geq L/2$.

Remark

*Generating smooth square-free integers in the form $\ell_1 \ell_2 u$ does **not** produce an asymptotic formula for y -smooth square-free positive integers $n \leq x$ in an arithmetic progression, — an interesting open question.*

What stops us from doing better?

Methods and tools

- Sieve as in *Harman & Shparlinski* (2014) to control the smoothness.
- *Garaev* (2010): bounds on double Kloosterman sums with reciprocals $\bar{\ell}_1$ and $\bar{\ell}_2$ modulo p of primes ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 :

$$\sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2 \in [L/2, L], \text{ primes}} \mathbf{e}_p(a\bar{\ell}_1\bar{\ell}_2),$$

where $\mathbf{e}_p(z) = \exp(2\pi iz/p)$.

- A version of the *Burgess* bound over square-free numbers due to *Munsch and Trudgian* (2017).
- A bound on the number of solutions to congruences

$$u^2v \equiv a \pmod{p}, \quad 1 \leq u \leq U, \quad 1 \leq v \leq V.$$

Improving on any of these results will propagate in better bounds on $M(p)$ and $M_\alpha(p)$.

Methods: Small α ; e.g., $\alpha = 1/(4e^{1/2})$

- Sieve as in *Harman & Shparlinski (2014)* to control the smoothness of u in $\ell_1 \ell_2 u$ modified to accomodate the square-freeness condition;
- Some error terms in the sieve depend on character sums

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2 \in [L/2, L]} \sum_{u \leq h}^{\#} \chi(\ell_1 \ell_2 u) \right| = \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{\ell \in [L/2, L]} \chi(\ell) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{u \leq h}^{\#} \chi(u) \right|$$

where $\Sigma^{\#}$ indicates that the summation over square-free numbers.

- Use a version of the *Burgess* bound over square-free numbers due to *Munsch and Trudgian (2017)* to estimate the sum over u .
- Use the orthogonality of characters to bound the remaining sum

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{\ell \in [L/2, L]} \chi(\ell) \right|^2 \leq \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{\ell \in [L/2, L]} \chi(\ell) \right|^2 \leq pL.$$

Methods: Large α ; e.g., $\alpha = 1$

I. Preliminary transformations

- Use inclusion/exclusion to reduce $\ell_1 \ell_2 u \equiv a \pmod{p}$ with a square-free $u \leq h$ to several congruences

$$\ell_1 \ell_2 u d^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}, \quad \ell_1, \ell_2 \in [L/2, L], \quad 1 \leq u \leq h/d^2,$$

where u is arbitrary, and $d = 1, 2, \dots$

- Fix parameters $D < E$ and split the value of d into three ranges

$$\textit{Small } d < D, \quad \textit{Medium } D \leq d < E, \quad \textit{Large } d \geq E,$$

- We want
 - An asymptotic formula for the contribution from *small* $d < D$.
 - Upper bounds for the contributions from *medium* $D \leq d < E$ and *large* $d \geq E$.

II. We treat *small* $d < D$ ‘individually’:

- fix d and treat this congruence as a question about reciprocals $\bar{d}^2 \bar{\ell}_1 \bar{\ell}_2$ in a short interval $[1, h/d^2]$, $\iff$ *A uniformity of distribution question.*
- To control the distribution, use bounds of double Kloosterman sums

$$\sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2 \in [L/2, L], \text{ primes}} \mathbf{e}_p(k \bar{\ell}_1 \bar{\ell}_2), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with products of reciprocals of two primes ℓ_1, ℓ_2 due to

- *Garaev* (2010) (for all primes p)
- *Irving* (2014) (for almost all primes $p \in [Q, 2Q]$);
- Combine this with the *Erdős–Turán* inequality linking the uniformity of distribution to exponential sums.

III. We bundle *medium* $D \leq d < E$ together in dyadic intervals $[F, 2F]$:

- We need to estimate

$$T = \#\{d \in [F, 2F] : \bar{d}^2 \equiv bv \pmod{p}, 1 \leq v \leq V\},$$

where $V = L^2 h / F^2$ (coming from the bound on $\ell_1 \ell_2 u$ with $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in [L/2, L]$, $1 \leq u \leq h/d^2$) and $b = \bar{a}$.

- Observe that

$$T^2 \leq \#\{d_1, d_2 \in [F, 2F] : \bar{d}_1^2 + \bar{d}_2^2 \equiv bv \pmod{p}, 1 \leq v \leq 2V\}.$$

- By the Cauchy inequality, we deduce

$$T^4 \ll V \#\left\{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \in [F, 2F] : \bar{d}_1^2 + \bar{d}_2^2 \equiv \bar{d}_3^2 + \bar{d}_4^2 \pmod{p}\right\}.$$

- The above congruence was studied by
 - by [Nunes \(2017\)](#), “individually” for every p ,
 - by [Fouvry & Shparlinski \(2010\)](#), “on average” over $p \in [Q, 2Q]$.

IV. We treat *large* $d \geq E$ ‘individually’ again:

- We write

$$\ell_1 \ell_2 u d^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \quad \text{as} \quad \ell_1 \ell_2 u \equiv a \bar{d}^2 \pmod{p}.$$

- Since $1 \leq \ell_1 \ell_2 u \leq L^2 h / d^2$ we see that $\ell_1 \ell_2 u = b + kp$ for some fixed $b \in [1, p-1]$ (when d is fixed) and non-negative

$$k \leq \frac{L^2 h}{d^2 p}.$$

- When k is fixed (in at most $L^2 h d^{-2} p^{-1} + 1$ ways) for each of them ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 can take at most $O(\log p)$ possible values among the divisors of $b + kp \geq 1$, and then u is uniquely defined.

V. Put everything together and optimise D and E .

Where are we?

Booker & Pomerance (2017)

- *Theorem:* We have $M(p) = p^{O(\log p)}$.
- *Conjecture:* We have $M(p) = p^{O(1)}$.

Munsch & Shparlinski (2017)

- *Theorem:* We have $M(p) \leq p^{3/2+o(1)}$.
- *Conjecture:* We have $M(p) = p^{1+o(1)}$.

Booker (2017)

Lower bound: We have

$$M(p) \gg p^{\frac{\log p}{\log \log p}}.$$

Booker's argument:

For an integer parameter K we choose a prime p such that

$$kp + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_{k+1}^2}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

where p_k denotes the k th prime.

Clearly, the smallest positive square-free $s \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$ satisfies

$$s > Kp.$$

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem and [Linnik's](#) theorem

$$p = \left(\prod_{k=2}^K p_{k+1}^2 \right)^{O(1)} = \exp(O(K \log K)) \implies K \gg \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}$$

Can we do better?

Further Applications

Munsch, Shparlinski & Yau (2019): Extending the above methods to get tight lower bounds of the form

$$\psi^{\sharp}(x, y; p, a) \geq x/p^{1+o(1)}$$

for $x = p^{\alpha+o(1)}$ and $y = p^{\beta+o(1)}$ in some ranges of α and β , where

$$\psi^{\sharp}(x, y; p, a) = \#\{n \leq x : n \equiv a \pmod{p}, \text{ square-free \& } y\text{-smooth}\}.$$

This improves *Balog & Pomerance* (1992) in some ranges

Square-full numbers in arithmetic progressions

Let $F(a, p)$ be the smallest positive squarefull number $n \equiv a \pmod p$ (i.e. $\ell \mid n \implies \ell^2 \mid n$ for any prime ℓ). Clearly

- $F(a, p) \leq (p-1)^2/4$ if a is a quadratic residue modulo p .
- $F(a, p) \leq n_p^3(p-1)^2/4$ if a is a quadratic non-residue modulo p , where n_p denotes the least quadratic non-residue modulo p .

Munsch & Shparlinski & Yau (2018)

For all but $o(p)$ quadratic non-residues $a \in [0, p-1]$, we have

$$p^2 n_p f(p) \ll F(a, p) \leq p^{2+1/(4e^{1/2})+o(1)}$$

for any function $f(p)$ such that $f(p) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark

We do not know how to substitute $p^{1/(4e^{1/2})}$ with n_p

The **proof** is based on

- *Banks & Garaev & Heath-Brown & Shparlinski (2008)*:
There is a positive proportion of quadratic non-residues in the *Burgess* interval $[1, p^{1/(4e^{1/2})+\varepsilon}]$.
- *Ayyad & Cochrane & Zheng (1996)*:
A tight bound on the 4th moment of short character sums

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq K} \chi(n) \right|^4 \leq K^2 p^{1+o(1)}.$$

Question: What is the true order of $F(a, p)$? Is it $p^{2+o(1)}$?

... perhaps, but we have no nontrivial upper bounds, besides the obvious $F(a, p) \leq n_p^3(p-1)^2/4$

Thank you!!